arXiv:1210.4350v1 [math.CA] 16 Oct 2012

Asymptotic behaviour of a differential operator with a finite number of transmission conditions

Erdoğan Şen

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters, Namık Kemal University, 59030 Tekirdağ, Turkey.

Department of Mathematics Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, Maslak, 34469 Istanbul, Turkey

e-mail: erdogan.math@gmail.com

MSC (2010): 34B27, 34L10, 34L20, 35R10, 47E05

Keywords : Sturm-Liouville problems; eigenparameter-dependent boundary conditions; transmission conditions; asymptotics of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions; completeness; spectrum; Green's function.

ABSTRACT

In this paper we investigate discontinuous two-point boundary value problems with eigenparameter in the boundary conditions and with transmission conditions at the finitely many points of discontinuity. A self-adjoint linear operator A is defined in a suitable Hilbert space H such that the eigenvalues of such a problem coincide with those of A. We obtain asymptotic formulas for the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. Also we show that the eigenfunctions of A are complete in H and obtain its Green's function.

1 Introduction

It is well-known that many topics in mathematical physics require the investigation of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of Sturm-Liouville type boundary value problems. In recent years, more and more researchers are interested in the discontinuous Sturm-Liouville problems and its applications in physics (see $[1-30]$). Various physics applications of this kind problem are found in many literatures, including some boundary value problem with transmission conditions that arise in the theory of heat and mass transfer (see $[9-12]$).

Discontinuous Sturm-Liouville problems with supplementary transmission conditions at the point(s) of discontinuity have been investigated in [2, 6-9, 23-27].

In this study, we examine eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the differential equation

$$\tau u := -u''(x) + q(x)u(x) = \lambda u(x) \tag{1}$$

on $[-1,h_1)\cup(h_1,h_2)\cup\ldots\cup(h_m,1]$, with boundary conditions

$$\tau_1 u := \alpha_1 u(-1) + \alpha_2 u'(-1) = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\tau_2 u := \left(\beta_1'\lambda + \beta_1\right) u(1) + \left(\beta_2'\lambda + \beta_2\right) u'(1) = 0 \tag{3}$$

and transmission conditions at the points of discontinuity $x = h_i$ $(i = \overline{1,m})$,

$$\tau_{2i+1} u := u(h_i - 0) - \delta_i u(h_i + 0) = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$\tau_{2i+2} u := u'(h_i - 0) - \delta_i u'(h_i + 0) = 0, \tag{5}$$

where $-1 < h_1 < h_2 < \ldots < h_m < 1$, $q(x)$ is a given real-valued function continuous in $[-1,h_1), (h_1,h_2), \ldots, (h_m,1]$ and has finite limits $q(h_i \pm 0) = \lim_{x\to h_i\pm 0} q(x)$ $\left(i=\overline{1,m}\right)$; λ is a complex eigenvalue parameter; δ_i $\left(i=\overline{1,m}\right)$, $\alpha_j, \alpha_j', \beta_j, \beta_j'$ $(j=1,2)$ are real numbers; $|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| \neq 0$ and $\delta_i \neq 0$ $\left(i=\overline{1,m}\right)$. As following [10] every where we assume that $\rho = \beta_1'\beta_2 - \beta_1\beta_2' > 0$.

2 Operator Formulation

In the Hilbert space $H = L_2(-1,1)\oplus\mathbb{C}$ we define an inner product by

$$\langle F,G\rangle := \int_{-1}^{h_1} f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx + \delta_1^2\int_{h_1}^{h_2} f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx + \delta_1^2\delta_2^2\int_{h_2}^{h_3} f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx +$$

$$\ldots + \prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\int_{h_m}^{1} f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx + \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2}{\rho} f_1\overline{g_1}$$

for

$$F := \begin{pmatrix} f(x) \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix},\ G := \begin{pmatrix} g(x) \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix} \in H$$

following (7) for convenience we put

$$R_1(u) := \beta_1 u(1) - \beta_2 u'(1),$$

$$R_1'(u) := \beta_1' u(1) - \beta_2' u'(1).$$

For functionals $f(x)$, which defined on $[-1,h_1)\cup(h_1,h_2)\cup\ldots\cup(h_m,1]$ and has finite limits $f(h_i\pm 0) := \lim_{x\to h_i\pm 0} f(x)$ $\left(i=\overline{1,m}\right)$. By $f_i(x)$ $\left(i=\overline{1,m+1}\right)$

we denote the functions

$$f_1\left(x\right):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} f(x), & x\in[-1,h_1),\\ \lim_{x\to h_1-0}f(x), & x=h_1,\end{array}\right. \quad f_2\left(x\right):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \lim_{x\to h_1+0}f(x), & x=h_1,\\ f(x), & x\in\left(h_1,h_2\right),\\ \lim_{x\to h_2-0}f(x), & x=h_2,\end{array}\right. ...$$

$$,f_m\left(x\right):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \lim_{x\to h_{m-1}+0}f(x), & x=h_{m-1},\\ f(x), & x\in\left(h_{m-1},h_m\right),\\ \lim_{x\to h_m-0}f(x), & x=h_n,\end{array}\right. \quad f_{m+1}\left(x\right):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \lim_{x\to h_m+0}f(x), & x=h_m,\\ f(x), & x\in(h_m,1]\end{array}\right.$$

which are defined on $\Omega_1:=[-1,h_1]\,,\ \Omega_2:=[h_1,h_2]\,,...,\Omega_m:=[h_{m-1},h_m]\,,$ $\Omega_{m+1}:=[h_m,1]$ respectively. We can rewrite the considered problem $(1)-(5)$ in the operator formulation as

$$AF=\lambda F$$

where

$$F:=\left(\begin{array}{c} f\left(x\right)\\ -R_1^{'}\left(f\right)\end{array}\right)\in D\left(A\right)$$

and with

$$D\left(A\right):=\left\{F\in H\left|f_i\left(x\right),\ f_i^{'}\left(x\right)\text{ are absolutely continuous in }\Omega_i\ \left(i=\overline{1,m+1}\right),\ \tau f\in\right.\right. L^2\left[-1,1\right],\ \tau_1f=\tau_2f=...=\tau_{2m+2}f=0\text{ and }f_1=R_1^{'}\left(f\right)\left.\right\}$$

and

$$AF=\left(\begin{array}{c}\tau f\\ -R_1\left(f\right)\end{array}\right).$$

Consequently, the problem $(1)-(5)$ can be considered as the eigenvalue problem for the operator A. Obviously, we have

Lemma 2.1. *The eigenvalues of the boundary value problem (1)-(5) coincide with those of A, and its eigenfunctions are the first components of the corresponding eigenfunctions of A.*

Lemma 2.2. *The domain $D\left(A\right)$ is dense in H.*

Proof. Let $F=\left(\begin{array}{c} f(x)\\ f_1\end{array}\right)\in H,\ F\perp D(A)$ and $\widetilde{C}_0^\infty$ be a functional set such that

$$\phi\left(x\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}\phi_1(x),x\in\left[-1,h_1\right),\\ \phi_2(x),x\in\left(h_1,h_2\right),\\ \vdots\\ \phi_{m+1}(x),x\in\left(h_m,1\right]\end{array}\right.$$

for $\phi_1(x)\in\widetilde{C}_0^\infty\left[-1,h_1\right),\ \phi_2(x)\in\widetilde{C}_0^\infty\left(h_1,h_2\right),...,\phi_{m+1}(x)\in\widetilde{C}_0^\infty\left(h_m,1\right]$. Since $\widetilde{C}_0^\infty\oplus0\subset D(A)$ $(0\in\mathbb{C})$, any $U=\left(\begin{array}{c}u(x)\\ 0\end{array}\right)\in\widetilde{C}_0^\infty\oplus0$ is orthogonal to F, namely

$$\langle F,U\rangle=\int_{-1}^{h_1} f(x)\overline{u(x)}dx+\delta_1^2\int_{h_1}^{h_2} f(x)\overline{u(x)}dx+\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\int_{h_2}^{h_3} f(x)\overline{u(x)}dx+$$

$$...+\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\int_{h_m}^{1} f(x)\overline{u(x)}dx=\langle f,u\rangle_1\,.$$

We can learn that $f(x)$ is orthogonal to $\widetilde{C}_0^{\infty}$ in $L^2[-1,1]$, this implies $f(x)=0$. So for all $G=\begin{pmatrix} g(x)\\ g_1\end{pmatrix}\in D(A)$, $\langle F,G\rangle=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2}{\rho}f_1\overline{g_1}=0$. Thus $f_1=0$ since $g_1=R_1'(g)$ can be chosen arbitrarily. So $F=\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\end{pmatrix}$, which proves the assertation. ■

Theorem 2.1. *The linear operator A is symmetric in H.*

Proof. Let F, $G\in D(A)$. By two partial integrations, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle AF,G\rangle&=\langle F,AG\rangle+\left(W(f,\overline{g};h_1-0)-W(f,\overline{g};-1)\right)+\delta_1^2\left(W(f,\overline{g};h_2-0)-W(f,\overline{g};h_1+0)\right)\\&+\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\left(W(f,\overline{g};h_3-0)-W(f,\overline{g};h_2+0)\right)+...+\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\left(W(f,\overline{g};1)-W(f,\overline{g};h_m+0)\right)\\&+\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2}{\rho}\left(R_1^{'}(f)R_1(\overline{g})-R_1(f)R_1^{'}(\overline{g})\right)\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where

$$W(f,\overline{g};x)=f(x)\overline{g}^{'}(x)-f^{'}(x)\overline{g}(x)$$

denotes the Wronskians of the functions f and $\overline{g}$. Since f and $\overline{g}$ satisfy the boundary condition (2), it follows that

$$W(f,\overline{g};-1)=0.\tag{7}$$

From the transmission conditions (4)-(5) we get

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} W(f,\overline{g};h_1-0)=\delta_1^2W(f,\overline{g};h_1+0)\,,\\ W(f,\overline{g};h_2-0)=\delta_2^2W(f,\overline{g};h_2+0)\,,\\ \vdots\\ W(f,\overline{g};h_m-0)=\delta_m^2W(f,\overline{g};h_m+0)\,.\end{array}\right.\tag{8}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_1^{'}(f)\,R_1(\overline{g})-R_1(f)\,R_1^{'}(\overline{g})\\
&=\left(\beta_1^{'}f(1)-\beta_2^{'}f^{'}(1)\right)\left(\beta_1\overline{g}(1)-\beta_2\overline{g}^{'}(1)\right)-\left(\beta_1 f(1)-\beta_2 f^{'}(1)\right)\left(\beta_1^{'}\overline{g}(1)-\beta_2^{'}\overline{g}(1)\right)\\
&=\left(\beta_2\beta_1^{'}-\beta_2^{'}\beta_1\right)f^{'}(1)\,\overline{g}(1)+\left(\beta_2^{'}\beta_1-\beta_2\beta_1^{'}\right)f(1)\,\overline{g}^{'}(1)\\
&=\rho\left(f^{'}(1)\,\overline{g}(1)-f(1)\,\overline{g}^{'}(1)\right)=-\rho W(f,g;1)\,. \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, substituting (7)-(9) in (6) then we get

$$\langle AF,G\rangle=\langle F,AG\rangle \quad (F,G\in D(A)) \qquad (10)$$

■

Now we can write the following theorem with the helps of Theorem 2.1, Naimark's Patching Lemma [28] and using the similar way in [7]

Theorem 2.2. *The linear operator A is self-adjoint in H.*

Corollary 2.1. *All eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(5) are real.*

We can now assume that all eigenfunctions are real-valued.

Corollary 2.2. *If λ_1 and λ_2 are two different eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(5), then the corresponding eigenfunctions u_1 and u_2 of this problem satisfy the following equality:*

$$\int_{-1}^{h_1}u_1(x)\,u_2(x)\,dx+\delta_1^2\int_{h_1}^{h_2}u_1(x)\,u_2(x)\,dx+\delta_1^2\delta_2^2\int_{h_2}^{h_3}u_1(x)\,u_2(x)\,dx+$$

$$...+\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\int_{h_m}^{1}u_1(x)\,u_2(x)\,dx=-\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2}{\rho}R_1^{'}(u_1)\,R_1^{'}(u_2)\,.$$

In fact this formula means the orthogonality of eigenfunctions u_1 and u_2 in the Hilbert space H.

We need the following lemma, which can be proved by the same technique as in [13].

Lemma 2.3. *Let the real-valued function $q(x)$ be continuous in $[-1,1]$ and $f(\lambda), g(\lambda)$ are given entire functions. Then for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ the equation*

$$-u^{''}+q(x)\,u=\lambda u, \quad x\in[-1,1]$$

has a unique solution $u=u(x,\lambda)$ satisfies the initial conditions

$$u(-1)=f(\lambda)\,,u^{'}(-1)=g(\lambda)\ \left(\text{or } u(1)=f(\lambda)\,,u^{'}(1)=g(\lambda)\right).$$

For each fixed $x\in[-1,1]$, $u(x,\lambda)$ is an entire function of λ.

We shall define two solutions

$$\phi_{\lambda}(x)=\begin{cases}\phi_{1\lambda}(x)\,,\ x\in[-1,h_1)\,,\\ \phi_{2\lambda}(x)\,,\ x\in(h_1,h_2)\,,\\ \vdots\\ \phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(x)\,,\ x\in(h_m,1]\,,\end{cases}\quad\text{and } \chi_{\lambda}(x)=\begin{cases}\chi_{1\lambda}(x)\,,\ x\in[-1,h_1)\,,\\ \chi_{2\lambda}(x)\,,\ x\in(h_1,h_2)\,,\\ \vdots\\ \chi_{(m+1)\lambda}(x)\,,\ x\in(h_m,1]\,,\end{cases}$$

of the Eq. (1) as follows: Let $\phi_{1\lambda}(x):=\phi_1(x,\lambda)$ be the solution of Eq. (1) on $[-1,h_1]$, which satisfies the initial conditions

$$u(-1)=\alpha_2,\ u^{'}(-1)=-\alpha_1. \tag{11}$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.1, after defining this solution, we may define the solution $\phi_2(x,\lambda):=\phi_{2\lambda}(x)$ of Eq. (1) on $[h_1,h_2]$ by means of the solution $\phi_1(x,\lambda)$ by the initial conditions

$$u(h_1)=\delta_1^{-1}\phi_1(h_1,\lambda)\,,\ u^{'}(h_1)=\delta_1^{-1}\phi_1^{'}(h_1,\lambda)\,. \tag{12}$$

After defining this solution, we may define the solution $\phi_3(x,\lambda):=\phi_{3\lambda}(x)$ of Eq. (1) on $[h_2,h_3]$ by means of the solution $\phi_2(x,\lambda)$ by the initial conditions

$$u(h_2)=\delta_2^{-1}\phi_2(h_2,\lambda)\,,\ u^{'}(h_2)=\delta_2^{-1}\phi_2^{'}(h_2,\lambda)\,. \tag{13}$$

Continuing in this manner, we may define the solution $\phi_{(m+1)}(x,\lambda):=\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(x)$ of Eq. (1) on $[h_m,1]$ by means of the solution $\phi_m(x,\lambda)$ by the initial conditions

$$u(h_m)=\delta_m^{-1}\phi_m(h_m,\lambda)\,,\ u^{'}(h_m)=\delta_m^{-1}\phi_m^{'}(h_m,\lambda)\,. \tag{14}$$

Therefore, $\phi(x,\lambda)$ satisfies the Eq. (1) on $[-1,h_1)\cup(h_1,h_2)\cup...\cup(h_m,1]$, the boundary condition (3), and the transmission conditions (4)-(5).

Analogically, first we define the solution $\chi_{(m+1)\lambda}(x):=\chi_{(m+1)}(x,\lambda)$ on $[h_m,1]$ by the initial conditions

$$u(1)=\beta_2^{'}\lambda+\beta_2,\ u^{'}(1)=\beta_1^{'}\lambda+\beta_1. \tag{15}$$

Again, after defining this solution, we may define the solution $\chi_{m\lambda}(x):=\chi_m(x,\lambda)$ of the Eq. (1) on $[h_{m-1},h_m]$ by the initial conditions

$$u(h_m)=\delta_m\chi_{m+1}(h_m,\lambda)\,,\ u^{'}(h_m)=\delta_m\chi_{m+1}^{'}(h_m,\lambda)\,. \tag{16}$$

Continuing in this manner, we may define the solution $\chi_{1\lambda}(x):=\chi_1(x,\lambda)$ of the Eq. (1) on $[-1,h_1]$ by the initial conditions

$$u(h_1)=\delta_1\chi_2(h_1,\lambda)\,,\ u^{'}(h_1)=\delta_1\chi_2^{'}(h_1,\lambda)\,. \tag{17}$$

Therefore, $\chi(x,\lambda)$ satisfies the Eq. (1) on $[-1,h_1)\cup(h_1,h_2)\cup...\cup(h_m,1]$, the boundary condition (3), and the transmission conditions (4)-(5).

It is obvious that the Wronskians

$$\omega_i(\lambda) := W_\lambda(\phi_i, \chi_i; x) := \phi_i(x,\lambda)\chi_i'(x,\lambda) - \phi_i'(x,\lambda)\chi_i(x,\lambda), \quad x \in \Omega_i \ \left(i = \overline{1, m+1}\right)$$

are independent of $x \in \Omega_i$ and entire functions.

Lemma 2.4. *For each* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\omega_1(\lambda) = \delta_1^2\omega_2(\lambda) = \delta_1^2\delta_2^2\omega_3(\lambda) = \ldots = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)$.

Proof. By the means of (12), (13), (14), (16) and (17), the short calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} W_\lambda(\phi_1, \chi_1; h_1) &= \delta_1^2 W_\lambda(\phi_2, \chi_2; h_1) = \delta_1^2 W_\lambda(\phi_3, \chi_3; h_2) = \delta_1^2\delta_2^2 W_\lambda(\phi_3, \chi_3; h_2) \\ &= \delta_1^2\delta_2^2 W_\lambda(\phi_4, \chi_4; h_3) = \ldots = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right) W_\lambda(\phi_{m+1}, \chi_{m+1}; h_m) \end{aligned}$$

so $\omega_1(\lambda) = \delta_1^2\omega_2(\lambda) = \delta_1^2\delta_2^2\omega_3(\lambda) = \ldots = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)$. ■

Now we may introduce the characteristic function

$$\omega(\lambda) := \omega_1(\lambda) = \delta_1^2\omega_2(\lambda) = \delta_1^2\delta_2^2\omega_3(\lambda) = \ldots = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\omega_{m+1}(\lambda).$$

Theorem 2.3. *The eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(5) are the zeros of the function* $\omega(\lambda)$.

Proof. Let $\omega(\lambda_0) = 0$. Then $W_{\lambda_0}(\phi_1, \chi_1; x) = 0$ and therefore the functions $\phi_{1\lambda_0}(x)$ and $\chi_{1\lambda_0}(x)$ are linearly dependent, i.e.

$$\chi_{1\lambda_0}(x) = k_1\phi_{1\lambda_0}(x), \quad x \in [-1, h_1]$$

for some $k_1 \neq 0$. From this, it follows that $\chi(x, \lambda_0)$ satisfies also the first boundary condition (2), so $\chi(x, \lambda_0)$ is an eigenfunction of the problem $(1)-(5)$ corresponding to this eigenvalue λ_0.

Now we let $u_0(x)$ be any eigenfunction corresponding to eigenvalue λ_0, but $\omega(\lambda_0) \neq 0$. Then the functions $\phi_1, \chi_1, \phi_2, \chi_2, \ldots, \phi_{m+1}, \chi_{m+1}$ would be linearly independent on $[-1, h_1]$, $[h_1, h_2]$ and $[h_m, 1]$ respectively. Therefore $u_0(x)$ may be represented in the following form

$$u_0(x) = \begin{cases} c_1\phi_1(x,\lambda_0) + c_2\chi_1(x,\lambda_0), & x \in [-1, h_1), \\ c_3\phi_2(x,\lambda_0) + c_4\chi_2(x,\lambda_0), & x \in (h_1, h_2), \\ \vdots \\ c_{2m+1}\phi_{m+1}(x,\lambda_0) + c_{2m+2}\chi_{m+1}(x,\lambda_0), & x \in (h_m, 1]. \end{cases}$$

where at least one of the constants $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{2m+2}$ is not zero. Considering the equations

$$\tau_\upsilon(u_0(x)) = 0, \quad \upsilon = \overline{1, 2m+2} \tag{18}$$

as the homogenous system of linear equations of the variables c_1, c_2, c_{2n+2} and taking (12), (13), (14), (16) and (17) into account, it follows that the determinant of this system is

$$\begin{vmatrix}
0 & \omega_1(\lambda_0) & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\phi_{1\lambda_0}(h_1) & \chi_{1\lambda_0}(h_1) & -\delta_1\phi_{2\lambda_0}(h_1) & -\delta_1\phi_{2\lambda_0}(h_1) & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\phi'_{1\lambda_0}(h_1) & \chi'_{1\lambda_0}(h_1) & -\delta_1\phi'_{2\lambda_0}(h_1) & -\delta_1\phi'_{2\lambda_0}(h_1) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -\delta_m\phi_{(m+1)\lambda_0}(h_m) & -\delta_m\chi_{(m+1)\lambda_0}(h_m) \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -\delta_m\phi'_{(m+1)\lambda_0}(h_m) & -\delta_m\chi'_{(m+1)\lambda_0}(h_m) \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \omega_{m+1}(\lambda_0) & 0
\end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\omega_i(\lambda_0)\right)\omega_{m+1}^{m}(\lambda_0) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, the system (18) has only the trivial solution $c_i = 0$ $\left(i = \overline{1, 2m+2}\right)$. Thus we get a contradiction, which completes the proof. ■

Lemma 2.5. *If* $\lambda = \lambda_0$ *is an eigenvalue, then* $\phi(x, \lambda_0)$ *and* $\chi(x, \lambda_0)$ *are linearly dependent.*

Proof. Let $\lambda = \lambda_0$ be an eigenvalue. Then by virtue of Theorem 2.2

$$W(\phi_{i\lambda_0}, \chi_{i\lambda_0}; x) = \omega_i(\lambda_0) = 0$$

and hence

$$\chi_{i\lambda_0}(x) = k_i\phi_{i\lambda_0}(x) \qquad \left(i = \overline{1, m+1}\right) \tag{19}$$

for some $k_1 \neq 0$, $k_2 \neq 0, ..., k_{m+1} \neq 0$, We must show that $k_1 = k_2 = ... = k_{m+1}$. Suppose, if possible, that $k_m \neq k_{m+1}$.

Taking into account the definitions of the solutions $\phi_i(x, \lambda)$ and $\chi_i(x, \lambda)$ from the equalities (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{2m+1}(\chi_{\lambda_0}) &= \chi_{\lambda_0}(h_m - 0) - \delta_m\chi_{\lambda_0}(h_m + 0) = \chi_{m\lambda_0}(h_m) - \delta_m\chi_{(m+1)\lambda_0}(h_m) \\
&= k_m\phi_m(h_m) - \delta_m k_{m+1}\phi_{m+1}(h_m) = k_m\delta_m\phi_{m+1}(h_m) - \delta_m k_{m+1}\phi_{m+1}(h_m) \\
&= \delta_m(k_m - k_{m+1})\phi_{m+1}(h_m) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

since $\tau_{2m+1}(\chi_{\lambda_0}) = 0$ and $\delta_m(k_m - k_{m+1}) \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\phi_{(m+1)\lambda_0}(h_m) = 0. \tag{20}$$

By the same procedure from $\tau_{2n+2}(\chi_{\lambda_0}) = 0$ we can derive that

$$\phi^{'}_{(m+1)\lambda_0}(h_m) = 0. \tag{21}$$

From the fact that $\phi_{(m+1)\lambda_0}(x)$ is a solution of the differential equation (1) on $[h_m, 1]$ and satisfies the initial conditions (20) and (21), it follows that

$\phi_{(m+1)\lambda_0}(x) = 0$ identically on $[h_m, 1]$ because of the well-known existence and uniqueness theorem for the initial value problems of the ordinary linear differential equations. Making use of (14), (19) and (20), we may also derive that

$$\phi_{m\lambda_0}(h_m) = \phi'_{m\lambda_0}(h_m) = 0 \tag{22}$$

Continuing in this matter, we may also find that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \phi_{(m-1)\lambda_0}(h_{m-1}) = \phi'_{(m-1)\lambda_0}(h_{m-1}) = 0. \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{1\lambda_0}(h_1) = \phi'_{1\lambda_0}(h_1) = 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{23}$$

identically on $[h_{m-1}, h_m], ..., [-1, h_1]$ respectively. Hence $\phi(x, \lambda_0) = 0$ identically on $[-1, h_1) \cup (h_1, h_2) \cup ... \cup (h_m, 1]$. But this contradicts with (11). Hence $k_m = k_{m+1}$. Analogically we can prove that $k_{m-1} = k_m$,...,$k_2 = k_3$ and $k_1 = k_2$. ■

Corollary 2.3. *If $\lambda = \lambda_0$ is an eigenvalue, then both $\phi(x, \lambda_0)$ and $\chi(x, \lambda_0)$ are eigenfunctions corresponding to this eigenvalue.*

Lemma 2.6. *All eigenvalues λ_n are simple zeros of $\omega(\lambda)$.*

Proof. Using the Lagrange's formula (cf. [28], p. 6-7), it can be shown that

$$(\lambda - \lambda_m)\left(\int_{-1}^{h_1} \phi_\lambda(x)\phi_{\lambda_n}(x)\,dx + \delta_1^2 \int_{h_1}^{h_2} \phi_\lambda(x)\phi_{\lambda_n}(x)\,dx + \delta_1^2\delta_2^2 \int_{h_2}^{h_3} \phi_\lambda(x)\phi_{\lambda_n}(x)\,dx + \right.$$

$$\left. ... + \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\int_{h_m}^{1} \phi_\lambda(x)\phi_{\lambda_n}(x)\,dx\right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right) W(\phi_\lambda, \phi_{\lambda_n}; 1) \tag{24}$$

for any λ. Recall that

$$\chi_{\lambda_n}(x) = k_n\phi_{\lambda_n}(x), \quad x \in [-1, h_1) \cup (h_1, h_2) \cup ... \cup (h_m, 1]$$

for some $k_n \neq 0$, $n = 1, 2, ...$ Using this equality for the right side of (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(\phi_\lambda, \phi_{\lambda_n}; 1) &= \frac{1}{k_n} W(\phi_\lambda, \chi_{\lambda_n}; 1) = \frac{1}{k_n}\left(\lambda_n R'_1(\phi_\lambda) + R_1(\phi_\lambda)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_n}\left[\omega(\lambda) - (\lambda - \lambda_n) R'_1(\phi_\lambda)\right] \\ &= (\lambda - \lambda_n)\frac{1}{k_n}\left[\frac{\omega(\lambda)}{\lambda - \lambda_n} - R'_1(\phi_\lambda)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this formula in (24) and letting $\lambda \to \lambda_n$, we get

$$\int_{-1}^{h_1} (\phi_{\lambda_n}(x))^2 \, dx + \delta_1^2 \int_{h_1}^{h_2} (\phi_{\lambda_n}(x))^2 \, dx + \delta_1^2 \delta_2^2 \int_{h_2}^{h_3} (\phi_{\lambda_n}(x))^2 \, dx + ... + \prod_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i^2 \int_{h_m}^{1} (\phi_{\lambda_n}(x))^2 \, dx$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i^2}{k_n} \left(\omega^{'}(\lambda_n) - R_1^{'}(\phi_{\lambda_n}) \right). \tag{25}$$

Now putting

$$R_1^{'}(\phi_{\lambda_n}) = \frac{1}{k_n} R_1^{'}(\chi_{\lambda_n}) = \frac{\rho}{k_n}$$

in (25) we get $\omega^{'}(\lambda_n) \neq 0$. ■

Definition 2.1. *The geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue λ of the problem (1)-(5) is the dimension of its eigenspace, i.e. the number of its linearly independent eigenfunctions.*

Theorem 2.4. *All eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(5) are geometrically simple.*

Proof. If f and g are two eigenfunctions for an eigenvalue λ_0 of (1)-(5) then (2) implies that $f(-1) = cg(-1)$ and $f'(-1) = cg'(-1)$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$. By the uniqueness theorem for solutions of ordinary differential equation and the transmission conditions (4)-(5), we have that $f = cg$ on $[-1, h_1]$, $[h_1, h_2]$ and $[h_m, 1]$. Thus the geometric multiplicity of λ_0 is one. ■

3 Asymptotic approximate formulas of $\omega(\lambda)$ for four distinct cases

We start by proving some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\phi(x, \lambda)$ be the solutions of Eq. (1) defined in Section 2,* *and let* $\lambda = s^2$*.Then the following integral equations hold for* $k = 0, 1$ *:*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{1\lambda}^{(k)}(x) = \alpha_2 \left(\cos s(x+1)\right)^{(k)} - \alpha_1 \frac{1}{s} \left(\sin s(x+1)\right)^{(k)} \\
+ \frac{1}{s} \int\limits_{-1}^{x} \left(\sin s(x-y)\right)^{(k)} q(y)\, \phi_{1\lambda}(y)\, dy, \\
\phi_{2\lambda}^{(k)}(x) = \frac{1}{\delta_1} \phi_{1\lambda}(h_1) \left(\cos s(x-h_1)\right)^{(k)} + \frac{1}{s}\frac{1}{\delta_1} \phi_{1\lambda}^{'}(h_1) \left(\sin s(x-h_1)\right)^{(k)} \\
+ \frac{1}{s} \int\limits_{h_1}^{x} \left(\sin s(x-y)\right)^{(k)} q(y)\, \phi_{2\lambda}(y)\, dy, \\
\vdots \\
\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{(k)}(x) = \frac{1}{\delta_m} \phi_{m\lambda}(h_m) \left(\cos s(x-h_m)\right)^{(k)} + \frac{1}{s}\frac{1}{\delta_m} \phi_{m\lambda}^{'}(h_m) \left(\sin s(x-h_m)\right)^{(k)} \\
+ \frac{1}{s} \int\limits_{h_m}^{x} \left(\sin s(x-y)\right)^{(k)} q(y)\, \phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(y)\, dy,
\end{array}\right. \tag{26}$$

where $(\cdot)^{(k)} = \frac{d^k}{dx^k}(\cdot)$.

Proof. It is enough to substitute $s^2 \phi_{1\lambda}(y) + \phi_{1\lambda}^{''}(y)$, $s^2 \phi_{2\lambda}(y) + \phi_{2\lambda}^{''}(y)$, ..., $s^2 \phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(y) + \phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{''}(y)$ instead of $q(y)\, \phi_{1\lambda}(y)$, $q(y)\, \phi_{2\lambda}(y)$, $q(y)\, \phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(y)$ in the integral terms of the (26), respectively, and integrate by parts twice. ■

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\lambda = s^2$, $\operatorname{Im} s = t$. *Then the functions* $\phi_{i\lambda}(x)$ *have the following asymptotic formulas for* $|\lambda| \to \infty$, *which hold uniformly for* $x \in \Omega_i$ $\big($*for* $i = \overline{1, m+1}$ and $k = 0, 1.\big)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1\lambda}^{(k)}(x) &= \alpha_2 \left(\cos s(x+1)\right)^{(k)} + O\left(|s|^{k-1} e^{|t|(x+1)}\right), \\
\phi_{2\lambda}^{(k)}(x) &= \frac{\alpha_2}{\delta_1} \left(\cos s(x+1)\right)^{(k)} + O\left(|s|^{k-1} e^{|t|(x+1)}\right), \\
&\vdots \\
\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{(k)}(x) &= \frac{\alpha_2}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i} \left(\cos s(x+1)\right)^{(k)} + O\left(|s|^{k-1} e^{|t|(x+1)}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

if $\alpha_2 \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1\lambda}^{(k)}(x) &= -\frac{\alpha_1}{s} \left(\sin s(x+1)\right)^{(k)} + O\left(|s|^{k-2} e^{|t|(x+1)}\right), \\
\phi_{2\lambda}^{(k)}(x) &= -\frac{\alpha_1}{s\delta_1} \left(\sin s(x+1)\right)^{(k)} + O\left(|s|^{k-2} e^{|t|(x+1)}\right), \\
&\vdots \\
\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{(k)}(x) &= -\frac{\alpha_1}{s\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i} \left(\sin s(x+1)\right)^{(k)} + O\left(|s|^{k-2} e^{|t|(x+1)}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

if $\alpha_2 = 0$.

Proof. Since the proof of the formulae for $\phi_{1\lambda}(x)$ is identical to Titchmarsh's proof to similar results for $\phi_\lambda(x)$ (see [29], Lemma 1.7 p. 9-10), we may formulate them without proving them here.

Since the proof of the formulae for $\phi_{2\lambda}(x)$ and $\phi_{3\lambda}(x)$ are identical to Kadakal's and Mukhtarov's proof to similar results for $\phi_\lambda(x)$ (see [6], Lemma 3.2 p. 1373-1375), we may formulate them without proving them here. But the similar formulae for $\phi_{4\lambda}(x), ..., \phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(x)$ need individual consideration, since the last solutions are defined by the initial conditions of these special nonstandart forms. We shall only prove the formula (27) for $k = 0$ and $m = 3$.

Let $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Then according to (27) for $m = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{3\lambda}(h_3) = \alpha_2 \Bigg\{ & \frac{1}{\gamma}\cos s(h_3 - h_2)\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2 - h_1)\cos s(h_1 + 1)\right.\\ & \left. -\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2 - h_1)\sin s(h_1 + 1)\right] - \frac{1}{\gamma}\sin s(h_3 - h_2)\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2 - h_1)\cos s(h_1 + 1)\right.\\ & \left.\left. +\frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2 - h_1)\sin s(h_1 + 1)\right]\right\} + O\left(|s|^{-1} e^{|t|[(h_3 - h_2) + (h_2 - h_1) + (h_1 + 1)]}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{3\lambda}^{'}(h_3) = \alpha_2 \Bigg\{ & -\frac{s}{\gamma}\sin s(h_3 - h_2)\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2 - h_1)\cos s(h_1 + 1)\right.\\ & \left. -\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2 - h_1)\sin s(h_1 + 1)\right] - \frac{s}{\delta}\cos s(h_3 - h_2)\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2 - h_1)\cos s(h_1 + 1)\right.\\ & \left.\left. +\frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2 - h_1)\sin s(h_1 + 1)\right]\right\} + O\left(e^{|t|[(h_3 - h_2) + (h_2 - h_1) + (h_1 + 1)]}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these asymptotic expressions into (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{4\lambda}(x) = \alpha_2 \Bigg\{ & \frac{1}{\eta}\left(\cos s(x - h_3)\right)\left\{\frac{1}{\gamma}\cos s(h_3 - h_2)\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2 - h_1)\cos s(h_1 + 1)\right.\right.\\ & \left. -\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2 - h_1)\sin s(h_1 + 1)\right] - \frac{1}{\gamma}\sin s(h_3 - h_2)\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2 - h_1)\cos s(h_1 + 1)\right.\\ & \left.\left. +\frac{\gamma_1^{'}}{\delta_1^{'}}\cos s(h_2 - h_1)\sin s(h_1 + 1)\right]\right\} - \frac{1}{\eta}\sin s(x - h_3)\left\{\frac{1}{\gamma}\sin s(h_3 - h_2)\right.\\ & \times\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2 - h_1)\cos s(h_1 + 1) - \frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2 - h_1)\sin s(h_1 + 1)\right] + \frac{1}{\gamma}\\ & \left.\left.\times\cos s(h_3 - h_2)\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2 - h_1)\cos s(h_1 + 1) + \frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2 - h_1)\sin s(h_1 + 1)\right]\right\}\right\}\\ & + \frac{1}{s}\int_{h_3}^{x}\sin s(x - y)\, q(y)\,\phi_{4\lambda}(y)\,dy + O\left(|s|^{-1} e^{|t|[(x - h_3) + (h_3 - h_2) + (h_2 - h_1) + (h_1 + 1)]}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Multiplying through by $e^{-|t|[(x-h_3)+(h_3-h_2)+(h_2-h_1)+(h_1+1)]}$, and denoting

$$F_{4\lambda}(x) := e^{-|t|[(x-h_3)+(h_3-h_2)+(h_2-h_1)+(h_1+1)]}\phi_{4\lambda}(x)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{4\lambda}(x) :=\ & \alpha_2 e^{-|t|[(x-h_3)+(h_3-h_2)+(h_2-h_1)+(h_1+1)]}\Bigg\{\frac{1}{\eta}\cos s(x-h_3)\Bigg\{\frac{1}{\gamma}\cos s(h_3-h_2) \\
&\times\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2-h_1)\cos s(h_1+1)-\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2-h_1)\sin s(h_1+1)\right]-\frac{1}{\gamma}\sin s(h_3-h_2) \\
&\times\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2-h_1)\cos s(h_1+1)+\frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2-h_1)\sin s(h_1+1)\right]\Bigg\}-\frac{1}{\eta}\sin s(x-h_3) \\
&\times\Bigg\{\frac{1}{\gamma}\sin s(h_3-h_2)\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2-h_1)\cos s(h_1+1)-\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2-h_1)\sin s(h_1+1)\right] \\
&+\frac{1}{\gamma}\cos s(h_3-h_2)\left[\frac{1}{\delta}\sin s(h_2-h_1)\cos s(h_1+1)+\frac{1}{\delta}\cos s(h_2-h_1)\sin s(h_1+1)\right] \\
&+\frac{1}{s}\int_{h_3}^{x}\sin s(x-y)\,q(y)\,e^{-|t|[(x-h_3)+(h_3-h_2)+(h_2-h_1)+(h_1+1)]}F_{4\lambda}(y)\,dy+O\left(|s|^{-1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting $M := \max_{x\in[h_3,1]}|F_{4\lambda}(x)|$ from the last formula, it follows that

$$M(\lambda)\le|\alpha_2|\frac{2}{|\delta_1|}\frac{2}{|\delta_2|}\frac{2}{|\delta_3|}+\frac{M(\lambda)}{|s|}\int_{h_3}^{1}q(y)\,dy+\frac{M_0}{|s|}$$

for some $M_0>0$. From this, it follows that $M(\lambda)=O(1)$ as $\lambda\to\infty$, so

$$\phi_{4\lambda}(x)=O\left(e^{|t|[(x-h_3)+(h_3-h_2)+(h_2-h_1)+(h_1+1)]}\right).$$

Substituting this back into the integral on the right side of (29) yields (27) for $k=0$ and $m=3$. The other cases may be considered analogically. ■

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $\lambda=s^2$, $t=\operatorname{Im}s$. *Then the characteristic function* $\omega(\lambda)$ *has the following asymptotic formulas*:

Case 1: *If* $\beta_2'\neq0$, $\alpha_2\neq0$, *then*

$$\omega(\lambda)=\beta_2'\alpha_2 s^3\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\sin 2s+O\left(|s|^2e^{2|t|}\right). \tag{30}$$

Case 2: *If* $\beta_2'\neq0$, $\alpha_2=0$, *then*

$$\omega(\lambda)=-\beta_2'\alpha_1 s^2\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\cos 2s+O\left(|s|^2e^{2|t|}\right). \tag{31}$$

Case 3: *If* $\beta_2'=0$, $\alpha_2\neq0$, *then*

$$\omega(\lambda)=\beta_1'\alpha_2 s^2\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\cos 2s+O\left(|s|^2e^{2|t|}\right). \tag{32}$$

Case 4: *If* $\beta_2' = 0$, $\alpha_2 = 0$, *then*

$$\omega(\lambda) = -\beta_1'\alpha_1 s\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\sin 2s + O\left(|s|^2 e^{2|t|}\right). \tag{33}$$

Proof. The proof is completed by substituting (27) and (28) into the representation

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(\lambda) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\omega_{m+1}(\lambda) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\left[\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(1)\,\chi_{(m+1)\lambda}(1) - \phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{'}(1)\,\chi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{'}(1)\right] = \\
= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\left[\left(\lambda\beta_1^{'} + \beta_1\right)\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(1) - \left(\lambda\beta_2^{'} + \beta_2\right)\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{'}(1)\right] \\
= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\left[\lambda\left(\beta_1^{'}\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(1) - \beta_2^{'}\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{'}(1)\right) + \left(\beta_1\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(1) - \beta_2\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{'}(1)\right)\right] \\
= -\lambda\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\beta_2^{'}\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{'}(1) + \lambda\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\beta_1^{'}\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(1) + \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right) - \beta_2\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{'}(1) \\
+ \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i^2\right)\beta_1\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

■

Corollary 3.1. *The eigenvalues of the problem (1)-(5) are bounded below.*
Proof. Putting $s = it$ $(t > 0)$ in the above formulas, it follows that $\omega\left(-t^2\right) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Therefore, $\omega(\lambda) \neq 0$ for λ negative and sufficiently large. ■

4 Asymptotic formulas for eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

Now we can obtain the asymptotic approximation formulae for the eigenvalues of the considered problem (1)-(5).

Since the eigenvalues coincide with the zeros of the entire function $\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)$, it follows that they have no finite limit. Moreover, we know from Corollaries 2.1 and 3.1 that all eigenvalues are real and bounded below. Hence, we may renumber them as $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots$, listed according to their multiplicity.

Theorem 4.1. *The eigenvalues* $\lambda_n = s_n^2$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ *of the problem (1)-(5) have the following asymptotic formulae for* $n \to \infty$:

Case 1: *If* $\beta_2^{'} \neq 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0$, *then*

$$s_n = \frac{\pi(n-1)}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \tag{35}$$

Case 2: *If* $\beta_2^{'} \neq 0, \alpha_2 = 0$, *then*

$$s_n = \frac{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \tag{36}$$

Case 3: *If* $\beta_2^{'} = 0, \alpha_2 \neq 0,$ *then*

$$s_n = \frac{\pi\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \tag{37}$$

Case 4: *If* $\beta_2^{'} = 0, \alpha_2 = 0,$ *then*

$$s_n = \frac{\pi n}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \tag{38}$$

Proof. We shall only consider the first case. The other cases may be considered similarly. Denoting $\omega_1(s)$ and $\omega_2(s)$ the first and O-term of the right of (42) repectively, we shall apply the well-known Rouché's theorem, which asserts that if $f(s)$ and $g(s)$ are analytic inside and on a closed contour C, and $|g(s)| < |f(s)|$ on C, then $f(s)$ and $f(s) + g(s)$ have the same number zeros inside C, provided that each zero is counted according to their multiplicity. It is readily shown that $|\overline{\omega}_1(s)| > |\overline{\omega}_2(s)|$ on the contours

$$C_n := \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} \,||s| = \frac{\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi}{2} \right\}$$

for sufficiently large n.

Let $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq ...$ be zeros of $\omega(\lambda)$ and $\lambda_n = s_n^2$. Since inside the contour C_n, $\overline{\omega}_1(s)$ has zeros at points $s = 0$ and $s = \frac{k\pi}{4}$, $k = \pm 1, \pm 2, ..., \pm n$.

$$s_n = \frac{(n-1)\pi}{2} + \delta_n \tag{39}$$

where $\delta_n = O(1)$ for sufficiently large n. By substituting this in (30), we derive that $\delta_n = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$, which completes the proof. ■

The next approximation for the eigenvalues may be obtained by the following procedure. For this, we shall suppose that $q(y)$ is of bounded variation in $[-1, 1]$.

Firstly we consider the case $\beta_2^{'} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Putting $x = h_1, x = h_2, ..., x = h_m$ in (26) and then substituting in the expression of $\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{'}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}^{'}(1) = {} & s\frac{\alpha_2}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i}\sin 2s - \frac{\alpha_1}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i}\cos 2s + \frac{1}{\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{-1}^{h_1}\cos(s(1-y))\,q(y)\,\phi_{1\lambda}(y)\,dy \\ & + \frac{1}{\left(\prod\limits_{i=2}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{h_1}^{h_2}\cos(s(1-y))\,q(y)\,\phi_{2\lambda}(y)\,dy + \frac{1}{\left(\prod\limits_{i=3}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{h_2}^{h_3}\cos(s(1-y))\,q(y)\,\phi_{3\lambda}(y)\,dy \\ & + ... + \frac{1}{\delta_m}\int\limits_{h_{m-1}}^{h_m}\cos(s(1-y))\,q(y)\,\phi_{m\lambda}(y)\,dy. + \int\limits_{h_m}^{1}\cos(s(1-y))\,q(y)\,\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(y)\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (27) into the right side of the last integral equality then gives

$$\phi'_{(m+1)\lambda}(1) = -\frac{s\alpha_2}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i}\sin 2s - \frac{\alpha_1}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i}\cos 2s + \frac{\alpha_2}{\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{-1}^{h_1}\cos\left(s\left(1-y\right)\right)\cos\left(s\left(1+y\right)\right)q\left(y\right)dy$$

$$+\frac{\alpha_2}{\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{h_1}^{h_2}\cos\left(s\left(1-y\right)\right)\cos\left(s\left(1+y\right)\right)q\left(y\right)dy+$$

$$...+\frac{\alpha_2}{\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{h_m}^{1}\cos\left(s\left(1-y\right)\right)\cos\left(s\left(1+y\right)\right)q\left(y\right)dy + O\left(|s|^{-1}e^{2|t|}\right).$$

On the other hand, from (27), it follows that

$$\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}(1) = \frac{\alpha_2}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i}\cos 2s + O\left(|s|^{-1}e^{2|t|}\right).$$

Putting these formulas into (34), we have

$$\omega\left(\lambda\right) = \frac{s^3\beta'_2\alpha_2}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i}\sin 2s + s^2\left[\left(\frac{\beta'_1\alpha_2+\beta'_2\alpha_1}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i}\right)\cos 2s\right.$$

$$-\frac{\beta'_2}{\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{-1}^{h_1}\cos\left(s\left(1-y\right)\right)q\left(y\right)\phi_{1\lambda}\left(y\right)dy - \frac{\beta'_2}{\left(\prod\limits_{i=2}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{h_1}^{h_2}\cos\left(s\left(1-y\right)\right)q\left(y\right)\phi_{2\lambda}\left(y\right)dy-$$

$$\left....-\frac{\beta'_2}{\delta_m}\int\limits_{h_{m-1}}^{h_m}\cos\left(s\left(1-y\right)\right)q\left(y\right)\phi_{m\lambda}\left(y\right)dy - \beta'_2\int\limits_{h_m}^{1}\cos\left(s\left(1-y\right)\right)q\left(y\right)\phi_{(m+1)\lambda}\left(y\right)dy\right]$$

$$+O\left(|s|^{-1}e^{2|t|}\right).$$

Putting (39) in the last equality we find that

$$\sin\left(2\delta_n\right) = -\frac{\cos\left(2\delta_n\right)}{s_n}\left[\frac{\beta'_1}{\beta'_2}+\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}-\frac{1}{2\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{-1}^{1}q\left(y\right)dy - \frac{1}{2\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{-1}^{1}\cos\left(2s_ny\right)q\left(y\right)dy\right]$$

$$+O\left(|s_n|^{-2}\right) \qquad (40)$$

Recalling that $q(y)$ is of bounded variation in $[-1,1]$, and applying the well-known Riemann-Lebesque Lemma (see [25], p. 48, Theorem 4.12) to the second integral on the right in (40), this term is $O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$. As a result, from (40) it follows that

$$\delta_n = -\frac{1}{\pi(n-1)}\left[\frac{\beta_1'}{\beta_2'}+\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}-\frac{1}{2\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{-1}^{1} q(y)\,dy\right]+O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

Substituting in (40), we have

$$s_n = \frac{\pi(n-1)}{2}-\frac{1}{\pi(n-1)}\left[\frac{\beta_1'}{\beta_2'}+\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}-\frac{1}{2\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{-1}^{1} q(y)\,dy\right]+O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

Similar formulas in the other cases are as follows:
In case 2:

$$s_n = \frac{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2}-\frac{1}{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\beta_1'}{\beta_2'}+\frac{1}{2\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{-1}^{1} q(y)\,dy\right]+O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

In case 3:

$$s_n = \frac{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{2}+\frac{1}{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left[\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1'}-\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}+\frac{1}{2\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{-1}^{1} q(y)\,dy\right]+O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

In case 4:

$$s_n = \frac{\pi n}{2}+\frac{1}{\pi n}\left[\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1'}+\frac{1}{2\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)}\int\limits_{-1}^{1} q(y)\,dy\right]+O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

Recalling that $\phi(x,\lambda_n)$ is an eigenfunction according to the eigenvalue λ_n and by putting (35) into the (27) we obtain that

$$\phi_{1\lambda_n}(x) = \alpha_2\cos\left(\frac{\pi(n-1)(x+1)}{2}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

$$\phi_{2\lambda_n}(x) = \frac{\alpha_2}{\delta_1}\cos\left(\frac{\pi(n-1)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\phi_{(m+1)\lambda_n}(x) = \frac{\alpha_2}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i}\cos\left(\frac{\pi(n-1)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

in the first case. Consequently, if $\beta_2^{'} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, then the eigenfunction $\phi(x, \lambda_n)$ has the following asymptotic formulae

$$\phi(x,\lambda_n) = \begin{cases} \alpha_2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi(n-1)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & x \in [-1, h_1) \\ \frac{\alpha_2}{\delta_1}\cos\left(\frac{\pi(n-1)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & x \in (h_1, h_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\alpha_2}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i}\cos\left(\frac{\pi(n-1)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & x \in (h_m, 1] \end{cases}$$

which holds uniformly for $x \in [-1, h_1) \cup (h_1, h_2) \cup ... \cup (h_m, 1]$.

Similar formulas in the other cases are as follows:

In case 2

$$\phi(x,\lambda_n) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2\alpha_1}{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\sin\left(\frac{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), & x \in [-1, h_1) \\ -\frac{2\alpha_1}{\delta_1\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\sin\left(\frac{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), & x \in (h_1, h_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{2\alpha_1}{\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\sin\left(\frac{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), & x \in (h_m, 1]. \end{cases}$$

In case 3

$$\phi(x,\lambda_n) = \begin{cases} \alpha_2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & x \in [-1, h_1) \\ \frac{\alpha_2}{\delta_1}\cos\left(\frac{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & x \in (h_1, h_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\alpha_2}{\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i}\cos\left(\frac{\pi\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)(x+1)}{2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), & x \in (h_m, 1]. \end{cases}$$

In case 4

$$\phi\left(x,\lambda_n\right)=\begin{cases}-\frac{2\alpha_1}{\pi n}\sin\left(\frac{\pi n(x+1)}{2}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), & x\in[-1,h_1)\\ -\frac{2\alpha_1}{\delta_1\pi n}\sin\left(\frac{\pi n(x+1)}{2}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), & x\in(h_1,h_2)\\ \vdots & \vdots\\ -\frac{2\alpha_1}{\left(\prod\limits_{i=1}^{m}\delta_i\right)\pi n}\sin\left(\frac{\pi n(x+1)}{2}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right), & x\in(h_m,1].\end{cases}$$

All these asymptotic formulas hold uniformly for $x\in[-1,h_1)\cup(h_1,h_2)\cup...\cup(h_m,1]$.

5 Completeness of eigenfunctions

Theorem 5.1 *The operator A has only point spectrum, i.e.,* $\sigma(A)=\sigma_\rho(A)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that if η is not an eigenvalue of A, then $\eta\in\sigma(A)$. Since A is self-adjoint, we only consider a real η. We investigate the equation $(A-\eta)Y=F\in H$, where $F=\begin{pmatrix}f\\ f_1\end{pmatrix}$.

Let us consider the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases}\tau y-\eta y=f, \quad x\in[-1,h_1)\cup(h_1,h_2)\cup...\cup(h_m,1],\\ \alpha_1 y(-1)+\alpha_2 y'(-1)=0,\\ y(h_i-0)-\delta_i y(h_i+0)=0,\\ y'(h_i-0)-\delta_i y'(h_i+0)=0.\end{cases}\tag{41}$$

Let $u(x)$ be the solution of the equation $\tau u-\eta u=0$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases}u(-1)=\alpha_2,\ u'(-1)=-\alpha_1,\\ u(h_i-0)-\delta_i u(h_i+0)=0,\\ u'(h_i-0)-\delta_i u'(h_i+0)=0.\end{cases}$$

In fact,

$$u(x)=\begin{cases}u_1(x), \quad x\in[-1,h_1),\\ u_2(x), \quad x\in(h_1,h_2),\\ \vdots\\ u_{m+1}(x), \quad x\in(h_m,1],\end{cases}$$

where $u_1(x)$ is the unique solution of the initial-value problem

$$\begin{cases}-u''+q(x)u=\eta u, \quad x\in[-1,h_1),\\ u(-1)=\alpha_2,\ u'(-1)=-\alpha_1;\end{cases}$$

$u_2(x)$ is the unique solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases}-u''+q(x)u=\eta u, \quad x\in(h_1,h_2),\\ u(h_1-0)=\delta_1 u(h_1+0),\\ u'(h_1-0)=\delta_1 u'(h_1+0);\end{cases}$$

$u_3(x)$ is the unique solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -u^{''}+q(x)u=\eta u, \quad x\in(h_2,h_3), \\ u(h_2-0)=\delta_2 u(h_2+0), \\ u'(h_2-0)=\delta_2 u'(h_2+0), \end{cases}$$

$$\vdots$$

and $u_{m+1}(x)$ is the unique solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -u^{''}+q(x)u=\eta u, \quad x\in(h_m,1], \\ u(h_m-0)=\delta_m u(h_m+0), \\ u'(h_m-0)=\delta_m u'(h_m+0). \end{cases}$$

Let

$$w(x)=\begin{cases} w_1(x), \quad x\in[-1,h_1), \\ w_2(x), \quad x\in(h_1,h_2), \\ \vdots \\ w_{m+1}(x), \quad x\in(h_m,1], \end{cases}$$

be a solution of $\tau w-\eta w=f$ satisfying

$$\begin{gathered} \alpha_1 w(-1)+\alpha_2 w^{'}(-1)=0, \\ w(h_i-0)=\delta_i w(h_i+0), \\ w^{'}(h_i-0)=\delta_i w^{'}(h_i+0). \end{gathered}$$

Then, (41) has the general solution

$$y(x)=\begin{cases} du_1+w_1, \quad x\in[-1,h_1), \\ du_2+w_2, \quad x\in(h_1,h_2), \\ \vdots \\ du_{m+1}+w_{m+1}, \quad x\in(h_m,1], \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

where $d\in\mathbb{C}$.

Since η is not an eigenvalue of the problem $(1)-(5)$, we have

$$\eta\left[\beta_1' u_{m+1}(1)+\beta_2' u_{m+1}'(1)\right]+\left[\beta_1 u_{m+1}(1)+\beta_2 u_{m+1}'(1)\right]\neq 0. \tag{43}$$

The second component of $(A-\eta)Y=F$ involves the equation

$$-R_1(y)-\eta R_1'(y)=f_1,$$

namely,

$$[-\beta_1 y(1)-\beta_2 y'(1)]-\eta\left[\beta_1' y(1)+\beta_2' y'(1)\right]=f_1. \tag{44}$$

Substituting (42) into (44), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(-\beta_2 u_{m+1}^{'}(1)-\beta_1 u_{m+1}(1)-\eta\beta_2^{'} u_{m+1}^{'}(1)-\eta\beta_1^{'} u_{m+1}(1)\right)d \\ &=f_1+\beta_1 w_{m+1}(1)+\beta_2 w_{m+1}^{'}(1)+\eta\beta_1^{'} w_{m+1}(1)+\eta\beta_2^{'} w_{m+1}^{'}(1) \end{aligned}$$

In view of (43), we know that d is uniquely solvable. Therefore, y is uniquely determined.

The above arguments show that $(A-\eta I)^{-1}$ is defined on all of H, where I is identity matrix. We obtain that $(A-\eta I)^{-1}$ is bounded by Theorem 2.2 and the Closed Graph Theorem. Thus, $\eta \in \sigma(A)$. Therefore, $\sigma(A)=\sigma_{\rho}(A)$. ■

The following lemma may be easily proved by using the same technique in [7].

Lemma 5.1 *The eigenvalues of the boundary value problem* $(1)-(5)$ *are bounded below, and they are countably infinite and can cluster only at* ∞.

For every $\delta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma_{\rho}(A)$, we have the following immediate conclusion.

Lemma 5.2 *Let* λ *be an eigenvalue of* $A-\delta I$, *and* V *a corresponding eigenfunction. Then,* λ^{-1} *is an eigenvalue of* $(A-\delta I)^{-1}$, *and* V *is a corresponding eigenfunction. The converse is also true.*

On the other hand, if μ is an eigenvalue of A and U is a corresponding eigenfunction, then $\mu-\delta$ is an eigenvalue of $A-\delta I$, and U is a corresponding eigenfunction. The converse is also true. Accordingly, the discussion about the completeness of the eigenfunctions of A is equivalent to considering the corresponding property of $(A-\delta I)^{-1}$.

By Lemma 1.1, Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 1.1, we suppose that $\{\lambda_n;\ n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is the real sequence of eigenvalues of A, then $\{\lambda_n-\delta;\ n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is the sequence of eigenvalues of $A-\delta I$. We may assume that

$$|\lambda_1-\delta| \leq |\lambda_2-\delta| \leq ... \leq |\lambda_n-\delta| \leq ... \to \infty.$$

Let $\{\mu_n;\ n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of $(A-\delta I)^{-1}$. Then $\mu_n = (\lambda_n-\delta)^{-1}$ and

$$|\mu_1| \geq |\mu_2| \geq ... \geq |\mu_n| \geq ... \to 0.$$

Note that 0 is not an eigenvalue of $(A-\delta I)^{-1}$.

Theorem 5.2 *The operator* A *has compact resolvents, i.e, for each* $\delta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma_{\rho}(A)$, $(A-\delta I)^{-1}$ *is compact on* H.

Proof. Let $\{\mu_1,\mu_2,...\}$ be the eigenvalues of $(A-\delta I)^{-1}$, and $\{P_1,P_2,...\}$ the orthogonal projections of finite rank onto the corresponding eigenspaces. Since $\{\mu_1,\mu_2,...\}$ is a bounded sequence and all $P_n\prime s$ are mutually orthogonal, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n P_n$ is strongly convergent to the bounded operator $(A-\delta I)^{-1}$, i.e., $(A-\delta I)^{-1}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mu_n P_n$. Because for every $\alpha>0$, the number of $\mu_n\prime s$ satisfying $|\mu_n|>\alpha$ is finite, and all $P_n\prime s$ are of finite rank, we obtain that $(A-\delta I)^{-1}$ is compact. ■

In terms of the above statements and the spectral theorem for compact operators, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3 *The eigenfunctions of the problem* $(1)-(5)$, *augmented to become eigenfunctions of* A, *are complete in* H, *i.e., if we let*

$$\left\{\Phi_n = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_n(x) \\ R_1'(\phi_n) \end{pmatrix};\ n\in\mathbb{N}\right\}$$

be a maximum set of orthonormal eigenfunctions of A, *where* $\{\phi_n(x);\ n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ *are eigenfunctions of* $(1)-(5)$, *then for all* $F\in H$, $F=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\langle F,\Phi_n\rangle\Phi_n$.

6 Green's Function

Let us consider the following differential equation:

$$-u''(x)+q(x)u(x)-\lambda u(x)=-f\left(x\right),\ x\in J \tag{45}$$

together with the eigenparameter-dependent boundary and transmission conditions (2)-(5) where $J=[-1,h_1)\cup(h_1,h_2)\cup...\cup(h_m,1]$.

We can represent the general solution $u_0(x)$ of homogenous differential equation (1), appropriate to Eq. (45), where $u_0(x)$ is defined in Section 2. By applying the standart method of variation of constants, we shall search the general solution of the non-homogenous differential equation (45) in the form

$$U(x)=\begin{cases} C_1\left(x,\lambda\right)\phi_1\left(x,\lambda\right)+C_2\left(x,\lambda\right)\chi_1\left(x,\lambda\right), & x\in[-1,h_1), \\ C_3\left(x,\lambda\right)\phi_2\left(x,\lambda\right)+C_4\left(x,\lambda\right)\chi_2\left(x,\lambda\right), & x\in(h_1,h_2), \\ \vdots & \\ C_{2m+1}\left(x,\lambda\right)\phi_{m+1}\left(x,\lambda\right)+C_{2m+2}\left(x,\lambda\right)\chi_{m+1}\left(x,\lambda\right), & x\in(h_m,1], \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

where the functions $C_i(x,\lambda)$ $\left(i=\overline{1,2m+2}\right)$ satisfy the linear system of equation

$$\begin{cases} C_1'\left(x,\lambda\right)\phi_1\left(x,\lambda\right)+C_2'\left(x,\lambda\right)\chi_1\left(x,\lambda\right)=0, \\ C_1'\left(x,\lambda\right)\phi_1'\left(x,\lambda\right)+C_2'\left(x,\lambda\right)\chi_1'\left(x,\lambda\right)=f(x) \end{cases}$$

for $x\in[-1,h_1)$,

$$\begin{cases} C_3'\left(x,\lambda\right)\phi_2\left(x,\lambda\right)+C_4'\left(x,\lambda\right)\chi_2\left(x,\lambda\right)=0, \\ C_3'\left(x,\lambda\right)\phi_2'\left(x,\lambda\right)+C_4'\left(x,\lambda\right)\chi_2'\left(x,\lambda\right)=f(x) \end{cases}$$

for $x\in(h_1,h_2)$, and

$$\vdots$$

$$\begin{cases} C_{2m+1}'\left(x,\lambda\right)\phi_{m+1}\left(x,\lambda\right)+C_{2m+2}'\left(x,\lambda\right)\chi_{m+1}\left(x,\lambda\right)=0, \\ C_{2m+1}'\left(x,\lambda\right)\phi_{m+1}'\left(x,\lambda\right)+C_{2m+2}'\left(x,\lambda\right)\chi_{m+1}'\left(x,\lambda\right)=f(x) \end{cases}$$

for $x\in(h_m,1]$. Because of the characteristic function $\omega\left(\lambda\right)\neq0$, the following relations can be easily obtained:

$$C_1\left(x,\lambda\right)=\frac{1}{\omega_1\left(\lambda\right)}\int_x^{h_1}f\chi_1dy+C_1,\quad C_2\left(x,\lambda\right)=\frac{1}{\omega_1\left(\lambda\right)}\int_{-1}^{x}f\phi_1dy+C_2,\quad x\in[-1,h_1),$$

$$C_3\left(x,\lambda\right)=\frac{1}{\omega_2\left(\lambda\right)}\int_x^{h_2}f\chi_2dy+C_3,\quad C_4\left(x,\lambda\right)=\frac{1}{\omega_2\left(\lambda\right)}\int_{h_1}^{x}f\phi_2dy+C_4,\quad x\in(h_1,h_2),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$C_{2m+1}\left(x,\lambda\right)=\frac{1}{\omega_{m+1}\left(\lambda\right)}\int_x^{1}f\chi_{m+1}dy+C_{2m+1},\quad x\in(h_m,1],$$

$$C_{2m+2}\left(x,\lambda\right)=\frac{1}{\omega_{m+1}\left(\lambda\right)}\int_{h_m}^{x}f\phi_{m+1}dy+C_{2m+2},\quad x\in(h_m,1].$$

Here C_i $\left(i=\overline{1,2m+2}\right)$ are arbitrary constants. Substituting the above equations in (46), the general solution of the non-homogenous differential Eq. (45) are obtained as follows:

$$U(x,\lambda)=\begin{cases}\frac{\phi_1(x,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)}\int_x^{h_1} f\chi_1 dy+\frac{\chi_1(x,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)}\int_{-1}^{x} f\phi_1 dy+C_1\phi_1(x,\lambda)+C_2\chi_1(x,\lambda), & x\in[-1,h_1),\\ \frac{\phi_2(x,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)}\int_x^{h_2} f\chi_2 dy+\frac{\chi_2(x,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)}\int_{h_1}^{x} f\phi_2 dy+C_3\phi_2(x,\lambda)+C_4\chi_2(x,\lambda), & x\in(h_1,h_2),\\ \vdots & \\ \frac{\phi_{m+1}(x,\lambda)}{\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)}\int_x^{1} f\chi_{m+1} dy+\frac{\chi_{m+1}(x,\lambda)}{\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)}\int_{h_m}^{x} f\phi_{m+1} dy+ & \\ C_{2m+1}\phi_{m+1}(x,\lambda)+C_{2m+2}\chi_{m+1}(x,\lambda), & x\in(h_m,1],\end{cases}\tag{47}$$

where C_i $\left(i=\overline{1,2m+2}\right)$ are arbitrary constants. By differentiating (47) we have

$$U'(x,\lambda)=\begin{cases}\frac{\phi_1'(x,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)}\int_x^{h_1} f\chi_1 dy+\frac{\chi_1'(x,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)}\int_{-1}^{x} f\phi_1 dy+C_1\phi_1'(x,\lambda)+C_2\chi_1'(x,\lambda), & x\in[-1,h_1),\\ \frac{\phi_2'(x,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)}\int_x^{h_2} f\chi_2 dy+\frac{\chi_2'(x,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)}\int_{h_1}^{x} f\phi_2 dy+C_3\phi_2'(x,\lambda)+C_4\chi_2'(x,\lambda), & x\in(h_1,h_2),\\ \vdots & \\ \frac{\phi_{m+1}'(x,\lambda)}{\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)}\int_x^{1} f\chi_{m+1} dy+\frac{\chi_{m+1}'(x,\lambda)}{\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)}\int_{h_m}^{x} f\phi_{m+1} dy+ & \\ C_{2m+1}\phi_{m+1}'(x,\lambda)+C_{2m+2}\chi_{m+1}'(x,\lambda), & x\in(h_m,1].\end{cases}\tag{48}$$

By using the system of Eq. (29) and the proof process of Theorem 2.3, the following equalities are obtained for $\tau_i(U)$, $i=\overline{1,2m+2}$:

$$\tau_1(U)=C_2\omega_1(\lambda),\tag{49_1}$$

$$\tau_2(U)=C_{2m+1}\omega_2(\lambda),\tag{49_2}$$

$$\tau_3(U)=\frac{\phi_2(h_1+0,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)}\int_{h_1+0}^{h_2-0} f\chi_2 dy-\frac{\chi_2(h_1+0,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)}\int_{-1}^{h_1-0} f\phi_1 dy- \tag{49_3}$$
$$C_1\phi_2(h_1+0,\lambda)-C_2\chi_2(h_1+0,\lambda)+C_3\phi_2(h_1+0,\lambda)+C_4\chi_2(h_1+0,\lambda),$$

$$\tau_4(U)=\frac{\phi_2'(h_1+0,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)}\int_{h_1+0}^{h_2-0} f\chi_2 dy-\frac{\chi_2'(h_1+0,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)}\int_{-1}^{h_1-0} f\phi_1 dy- \tag{49_4}$$
$$C_1\phi_2'(h_1+0,\lambda)-C_2\chi_2'(h_1+0,\lambda)+C_3\phi_2'(h_1+0,\lambda)+C_4\chi_2'(h_1+0,\lambda),$$

$$\tau_5(U)=\frac{\phi_3(h_2+0,\lambda)}{\omega_3(\lambda)}\int_{h_2+0}^{h_3-0} f\chi_3 dy-\frac{\chi_3(h_2+0,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)}\int_{h_1+0}^{h_2-0} f\phi_2 dy- \tag{49_5}$$
$$C_3\phi_3(h_2+0,\lambda)-C_4\chi_3(h_2+0,\lambda)+C_5\phi_3(h_2+0,\lambda)+C_6\chi_3(h_2+0,\lambda),$$

$$\tau_6(U)=\frac{\phi_3'(h_2+0,\lambda)}{\omega_3(\lambda)}\int_{h_2+0}^{h_3-0} f\chi_3 dy-\frac{\chi_3'(h_2+0,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)}\int_{h_1+0}^{h_2-0} f\phi_2 dy- \tag{49_6}$$
$$C_3\phi_3'(h_2+0,\lambda)-C_4\chi_3'(h_2+0,\lambda)+C_5\phi_3'(h_2+0,\lambda)+C_6\chi_3'(h_2+0,\lambda),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2m+1}(U) = {} & \frac{\phi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda)}{\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)} \int_{h_m+0}^{1} f\chi_{m+1}dy - \frac{\chi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda)}{\omega_m(\lambda)} \int_{h_{m-1}+0}^{h_m-0} f\phi_m dy \\ & - C_{2m-1}\phi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) - C_{2m}\chi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) \\ & + C_{2m+1}\phi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) + C_{2m+2}\chi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda),\end{aligned} \tag{$49_{\mathrm{2m+1}}$}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2m+2}(U) = {} & \frac{\phi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda)}{\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)} \int_{h_m+0}^{1} f\chi_{m+1}dy - \frac{\chi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda)}{\omega_m(\lambda)} \int_{h_{m-1}+0}^{h_m-0} f\phi_m dy \\ & - C_{2m-1}\phi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) - C_{2m}\chi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) \\ & + C_{2m+1}\phi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) + C_{2m+2}\chi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda).\end{aligned} \tag{$49_{\mathrm{2m+2}}$}$$

Because of $U(x,\lambda)$ is a solution and $\omega(\lambda) \neq 0$, from boundary condition (2) and equality (49_1) we have $C_2 = 0$. Similarly from equality (49_2) and boundary condition (3) we have $C_{2m+1} = 0$.

On the other hand, by taking into account Eqs. (49_3)-($49_{\mathrm{2m+2}}$) and transmission conditions (4)-(5), the following linear equation system according to the variables $C_1, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6, ..., C_{2m}, C_{2m+2}$ are obtained as:

$$\begin{cases} C_1\phi_2(h_1+0,\lambda) - C_3\phi_2(h_1+0,\lambda) - C_4\chi_2(h_1+0,\lambda) = \\ \frac{\phi_2(h_1+0,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)} \int_{h_1+0}^{h_2-0} f\chi_2 dy - \frac{\chi_2(h_1+0,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)} \int_{-1}^{h_1-0} f\phi_1 dy, \\ C_1\phi'_2(h_1+0,\lambda) - C_3\phi'_2(h_1+0,\lambda) - C_4\chi'_2(h_1+0,\lambda) = \\ \frac{\phi'_2(h_1+0,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)} \int_{h_1+0}^{h_2-0} f\chi_2 dy - \frac{\chi'_2(h_1+0,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)} \int_{-1}^{h_1-0} f\phi_1 dy, \\ \vdots \\ C_{2m-1}\phi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) + C_{2m}\chi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) - C_{2m+2}\chi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) = \\ \frac{\phi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda)}{\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)} \int_{h_m+0}^{1} f\chi_{m+1} dy - \frac{\chi_{m+1}(h_2+0,\lambda)}{\omega_m(\lambda)} \int_{h_{m-1}+0}^{h_m-0} f\phi_m dy, \\ C_{2m-1}\phi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) + C_{2m}\chi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) - C_{2m+2}\chi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) = \\ \frac{\phi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda)}{\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)} \int_{h_m+0}^{1} f\chi_{m+1} dy - \frac{\chi'_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda)}{\omega_m(\lambda)} \int_{h_{m-1}+0}^{h_m-0} f\phi_m dy. \end{cases} \tag{50}$$

By using the definitions of solutions $\phi_i(x,\lambda)$ and $\chi_i(x,\lambda)$ ($i = \overline{1, m+1}$), the following relation is obtained for the determinant of this linear equation system:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \phi_2(h_1+0,\lambda) & -\phi_2(h_1+0,\lambda) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \phi_2'(h_1+0,\lambda) & -\phi_2'(h_1+0,\lambda) & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_3(h_2+0,\lambda) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \phi_3'(h_2+0,\lambda) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) & \chi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) & -\chi_{m+1}(h_m+0,\lambda) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi_{m+1}'(h_m+0,\lambda) & \chi_{m+1}'(h_m+0,\lambda) & -\chi_{m+1}'(h_m+0,\lambda) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -\prod_{i=2}^{m+1} \omega_i(\lambda).$$

As this determinant is different from zero, the solution of (50) is unique. If we solve the system (50), we get the following equality for the coefficients $C_1, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6, ..., C_{2m}, C_{2m+2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \frac{1}{\omega_2(\lambda)} \int_{h_1}^{h_2} f\chi_2 dy + \frac{1}{\omega_3(\lambda)} \int_{h_2}^{h_3} f\chi_3 dy, \\ C_3 &= \frac{1}{\omega_3(\lambda)} \int_{h_2}^{h_3} f\chi_3 dy,\ C_4 = \frac{1}{\omega_1(\lambda)} \int_{-1}^{h_1} f\phi_1 dy, \\ &\vdots \\ C_{2m+2} &= \frac{1}{\omega_m(\lambda)} \int_{h_{m-1}}^{h_m} f\phi_m dy + \frac{1}{\omega_{m-1}(\lambda)} \int_{h_{m-2}}^{h_{m-1}} f\phi_{m-1} dy \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by substituting the coefficients $C_i \left(i = \overline{1, 2m+2}\right)$ in (47), the following formulas are obtained for the resolvent $U(x,\lambda)$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\phi_1(x,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)} \int_x^{h_1} f\chi_1 dy + \frac{\chi_1(x,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)} \int_{-1}^{x} f\phi_1 dy + \\ \frac{\phi_1(x,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)} \int_{h_1}^{h_2} f\chi_2 dy + \frac{\phi_1(x,\lambda)}{\omega_3(\lambda)} \int_{h_2}^{h_3} f\chi_3 dy, \quad x \in [-1, h_1), \\ \frac{\phi_2(x,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)} \int_x^{h_2} f\chi_2 dy + \frac{\chi_2(x,\lambda)}{\omega_2(\lambda)} \int_{h_1}^{x} f\phi_2 dy + \\ \frac{\phi_2(x,\lambda)}{\omega_3(\lambda)} \int_{h_2}^{h_3} f\chi_3 dy + \frac{\chi_2(x,\lambda)}{\omega_1(\lambda)} \int_{-1}^{h_1} f\phi_1 dy, \quad x \in (h_1, h_2), \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\phi_{m+1}(x,\lambda)}{\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)} \int_x^{1} f\chi_{m+1} dy + \frac{\chi_{m+1}(x,\lambda)}{\omega_{m+1}(\lambda)} \int_{h_m}^{x} f\phi_{m+1} dy + \\ \frac{\chi_{m+1}(x,\lambda)}{\omega_m(\lambda)} \int_{h_{m-1}}^{h_m} f\phi_m dy + \frac{\chi_{m+1}(x,\lambda)}{\omega_{m-1}(\lambda)} \int_{h_{m-2}}^{h_{m-1}} f\phi_{m-1} dy, \quad x \in (h_m, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_1(x), & x \in [-1, h_1), \\ \phi_2(x), & x \in (h_1, h_2), \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{m+1}(x), & x \in (h_m, 1], \end{cases}, \quad \chi(x) = \begin{cases} \chi_1(x), & x \in [-1, h_1), \\ \chi_2(x), & x \in (h_1, h_2), \\ \vdots \\ \chi_{m+1}(x), & x \in (h_m, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$U\left(x,\lambda\right)=\frac{\phi\left(x\right)}{\omega_i\left(\lambda\right)}\int_x^1 f\chi_i dy+\frac{\chi\left(x\right)}{\omega_i\left(\lambda\right)}\int_{-1}^x f\phi_i dy,\quad i=\overline{1,m+1}. \tag{51}$$

Thus the resolvent of the boundary-value transmission problem is obtained. We can find the Green function from the resolvent (51). Namely, denoting

$$G(x,y;\lambda)=\begin{cases}\frac{\phi_i(y,\lambda)\chi(x,\lambda)}{\omega_i(\lambda)}, & -1\le y\le x\le 1,\quad x\ne h_1,h_2,\quad y\ne h_1,h_2\\ \frac{\phi(x,\lambda)\chi_i(y,\lambda)}{\omega_i(\lambda)}, & -1\le x\le y\le 1,\quad x\ne h_1,h_2,\quad y\ne h_1,h_2\end{cases},\ i=\overline{1,m+1}$$

we can rewrite the resolvent (51) in the next form

$$U\left(x,\lambda\right)=\int_{-1}^1 G(x,y;\lambda)f(y)dy.$$

References

[1] G. Teschl, Ordinary Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 140, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2012.

[2] M. Shahriari, A. J. Akbarfam and G. Teschl, Uniqueness for Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems with a finite number of transmission conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 395 (2012) 19-29.

[3] B. J. Harmsen and A. Li, Discrete Sturm-Liouville problems with nonlinear parameter in the boundary conditions, J. Diff. Eq. Appl. 13 (7) (2007) 639-653.

[4] D. Buschmann, G. Stolz, J. Weidmann, One-dimensional Schrödinger operators with local point interactions, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 467 (1995) 169–186.

[5] G. V. Demidenko, V. A. Likhoshvai, On differential equations with retarded argument, Sib. Mat. Zh. 46 (3) (2005) 417-430.

[6] M. Kadakal, O. Sh. Mukhtarov, Sturm-Liouville problems with discontinuities at two points, Comput. Math. Appl. 54 (2007) 1367-1379.

[7] A. Wang, J. Sun, X. Hao, S. Yao, Completeness of Eigenfunctions of Sturm-Liouville Problems with Transmission Conditions, Meth. Appl. Anal. 16 (3) (2009) 299-312.

[8] Q. Yang, W. Wang, Asymptotic behavior of a differential operator with discontinuities at two points, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 34 (2011) 373-383.

[9] Z. Akdoğan, M. Demirci, O. Sh. Mukhtarov, Green function of discontinuous boundary-value problem with transmission conditions, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 30 (2007) 1719-1738.

[10] C. T. Fulton, Two-point boundary value problems with eigenvalue parameter contained in the boundary conditions, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 77 (1977) 293–308.

[11] M. Kobayashi, Eigenvalues of discontinuous Sturm–Liouville problems with symmetric potential, Comput. Math. Appl. 18 (4) (1989) 357–364.

[12] A. V. Likov, Yu. A. Mikhailov, The Theory of Heat and Mass Transfer, Qosenerqoizdat (1963) (in Russian).

[13] O. Sh. Mukhtarov, E. Tunç, Eigenvalue problems for Sturm–Liouville equations with transmission conditions, Israel J. Math. 144 (2004) 367–380.

[14] P. A. Binding, P. J. Browne, Oscillation theory for indefinite Sturm–Liouville problems with eigen-parameter-dependent boundary conditions, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 127 (1997) 1123–1136.

[15] P. A. Binding, P. J. Browne, B. A. Watson, Sturm-Liouville problems with boundary conditions rationally dependent on the eigenparameter II. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 148 (2002) 147-169.

[16] P. A. Binding, R. Hryniv, H. Langer, Elliptic eigenvalue problems with eigenparameter dependent boundary conditions, J. Diff. Eq., 174 (2001) 30-54.

[17] D. B. Hinton, An expansion theorem for an eigenvalue problem with eigenvalue parameter in the boundary conditions, Q. J. Math. 30 (1979) 33–42.

[18] N. B. Kerimov, Kh. R. Mamedov, On a boundary value problem with a spectral parameter in the boundary conditions, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 40 (2) (1999) 325–335 (English translation: Siberian Math. J. 40 (2) (1999) 281–290).

[19] A. A. Shkalikov, Boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations with a parameter in boundary condition, Trudy Sem. Imeny I. G. Petrowsgo 9 (1983) 190–229.

[20] J. Walter, Regular eigenvalue problems with eigenvalue parameter in the boundary conditions, Math. Z. 133 (1973) 301–312.

[21] S. Yakubov, Y. Yakubov, Abel basis of root functions of regular boundary value problems, Math. Nachr. 197 (1999) 157–187.

[22] S. Yakubov, Y. Yakubov, Differential-Operator Equations. Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2000.

[23] E. Şen, A. Bayramov, Calculation of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a discontinuous boundary value problem with retarded argument which contains a spectral parameter in the boundary condition, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 54 (2011) 3090-3097.

[24] E. Şen and A. Bayramov, On calculation of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a Sturm-Liouville type problem with retarded argument which contains a spectral parameter in the boundary condition, Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2011:113 (2011) 9 pages.

[25] E. Şen and A. Bayramov, On a discontinuous Sturm-Liouville type problem with retarded argument, American Institute of Physics Proceedings 1389 (2011) 1172-1175.

[26] E. Şen, Discontinuous Sturm–Liouville type problems, arXiv:1201.5494v2.

[27] A. Bayramov and E. Şen, On a Sturm–Liouville type problem with retarded argument, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., doi: 10.1002/mma.2567 (2012).

[28] M. N. Naimark, Linear Differential Operators, Ungar, New York, 1967.

[29] E. C. Titchmarsh, Eigenfunctions Expansion Associated With Second Order Differential Equation 1, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, London, 1962.

[30] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series I, 2nd ed., Pergamon, London, 1959.